1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA
3	
4	In re: National Hockey League MDL No. 14-2551 (SRN/JSM)
5	Players' Concussion Injury Litigation St. Paul, Minnesota
6	Courtroom 7B (ALL ACTIONS) September 18, 2014
7	1:00 p.m.
8	
9	
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE SUSAN RICHARD NELSON
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
12	
13	INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT HEARING
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Official Court Reporter: Heather Schuetz, RMR, CRR, CCP U.S. Courthouse, Ste. 146
24	316 North Robert Street St. Paul, Minnesota 55101
25	Sc. Faut, Minnesoca SSIVI

```
1
                           APPEARANCES
 2
                     For the Plaintiffs:
 3
     ZIMMERMAN REED, PLLP
     Charles "Bucky" S. Zimmerman, Esq.
 4
     Brian C. Gudmundson, Esq.
 5
     1100 IDS Center
     80 S. 8th St.
 6
     Minneapolis, MN 55402
 7
     ZIMMERMAN REED, PLLP
 8
     Hart Robinovitch, Esq.
     14646 N. Kierland Blvd., Ste. 145
 9
     Scottsdale, AZ 85254
10
     CHESTNUT CAMBRONNE, P.A.
11
     Bryan L. Bleichner, Esq.
     17 Washington Ave. N., Ste. 300
12
     Minneapolis, MN 55401-2048
13
     HEINS MILLS & OLSON, PLC
14
     James W. Anderson
     310 Clifton Ave.
15
     Minneapolis, MN 55403
16
     ZELLE HOFFMAN VOELBEL & MASON, LLP
17
     Michael R. Cashman, Esq.
     Shawn D. Stuckey, Esq.
     500 Washington Ave. S., Ste. 4000
18
     Minneapolis, MN 55415
19
20
     BASSFORD REMELE, P.A.
     J. Scott Andresen, Esq.
21
     Jeffrey D. Klobucar, Esq.
     33 S. 6th St., Ste. 3800
     Minneapolis, MN 55402-3707
22
23
     ROBBINS, GELLER, RUDMAN & DOWD, LLP
24
     Stuart A. Davidson, Esq.
     Mark J. Dearman, Esq.
25
     120 E. Palmetto Park Rd., Ste. 500
     Boca Raton, FL 33432
```

Heather A. Schuetz, RMR, CRR, CCP (651) 848-1223 Heather_Schuetz@mnd.uscourts.gov

```
1
     THE LEVINE LAW FIRM, P.C.
 2
     David I. Levine, Esq.
     1804 Intracoastal Dr.
 3
     Fort Lauderdale, FL 33305
 4
     SILVERMAN, THOMPSON, SLUTKIN & WHITE, LLC
 5
     Stephen G. Grygiel, Esq.
     201 N. Charles St., Ste. 2600
 6
     Baltimore, MD 21201
 7
     NAMANNY, BYRNE & OWENS
     Thomas J. Byrne, Esq.
 8
     Mel Owens, Esq.
 9
     2 S. Pointe Dr.
     Lake Forest, CA 92630
10
     CORBOY & DEMETRIO
11
     Katelyn D. Geoffrion, Esq.
     33 N. Dearborn St., 21st Floor
12
     Chicago, IL 60602
13
     GOLDMAN SCARLATO KARON & PENNY, P.C.
14
     Brian D. Penny, Esq.
15
     101 E. Lancaster Ave., Ste. 204
     Wayne, PA 19087
16
17
     GUSTAFSON GLUEK
     Karla Gluek, Esq.
18
     120 S. 6th St., Ste. 2600
     Minneapolis, MN 55402
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
                      For the Defendant:
 2
     SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP
 3
     John H. Beisner, Esq.
     1440 New York Ave. NW
 4
     Washington, D.C. 20005-2111
 5
     SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP
 6
     Shepard Goldfein, Esq.
     James A. Keyte, Esq.
 7
     Four Times Square
     New York, NY 10036
 8
 9
     FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS, LLP
     Daniel J. Connolly, Esq.
10
     Aaron D. Van Oort, Esq.
     Linda S. Svitak, Esq.
     Joseph M. Price, Esq.
11
     2200 Wells Fargo Center
     90 S. 7th St.
12
     Minneapolis, MN 55402
13
14
     PROSKAUER ROSE, LLP
     Joseph Baumgarten, Esq.
15
     Eleven Times Square
     New York, NY 10036
16
17
     Also Present:
18
     Bill Daly, NHL Deputy Commissioner
     Julie Grand, NHL Deputy General Counsel
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	INDEX	Page:
2	Opening Remarks by the Court	10
3	Discussion Re: Plaintiffs' Proposed Structure	
4	By Mr. Zimmerman	
5 6 7	Discussion Re: Preliminary Proposed Schedule By the Court	16
8	Discussion Re: Status Conferences By the Court	
10 11 12	Discussion Re: Mediation By Mr. Zimmerman By Mr. Beisner By the Court	28
13 14	Discussion Re: Time and Expense Reporting By Mr. Zimmerman	30
15 16	Discussion Re: Official Court Website By the Court	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22 23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	IN OPEN COURT
3	(Commencing at 1:02 p.m.)
4	THE COURT: We are here this afternoon in the matter
5	of In re: National Hockey League Players' Concussion Injury
6	Litigation. This is MDL number 14-2551. In some sort of
7	organized way, let's try to make appearances, okay, and slowly
8	for the sake of the court reporter.
9	And we'll begin with the Plaintiffs.
10	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. I'm
11	Charles Zimmerman from the firm of Zimmerman Reed in
12	Minneapolis, here for the Plaintiffs.
13	MR. GRYGIEL: Good afternoon, Your Honor. I'm Steve
14	Grygiel from the Baltimore law firm of Silverman, Thompson on
15	behalf of the Plaintiff.
16	THE COURT: Very good.
17	MR. DAVIDSON: Good afternoon, Judge. My name is
18	Stuart Davidson from the Robbins, Geller, Rudman & Dowd law
19	firm, Boca Raton, Florida.
20	THE COURT: Very good.
21	MR. GUDMUNDSON: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Brian
22	Gudmundson, Zimmerman Reed, on behalf of Plaintiffs.
23	MR. DEARMAN: Mark Dearman, D-e-a-r-m-a-n, Robbins,
24	Geller, Rudman & Dowd law firm, Boca Raton.
25	THE COURT: Take a look at your mics and make sure

```
1
     the green light is on.
 2
               MR. DEARMAN: It is now. Thanks.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay.
               MR. LEVINE: Good morning. David Levine of The
 4
     Levine Law Firm in Fort Lauderdale, Florida for the
 5
 6
     Plaintiffs.
 7
               MR. SCOTT ANDRESON: Good afternoon, Judge. Scott
     Andreson, Bassford Remele, Minneapolis. Mr. Remele sends his
 8
 9
     apologies and his regards that he's out of the country and
10
     can't be here today.
11
               MR. CASHMAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
12
     Michael R. Cashman from the Zelle Hoffman Voelbel & Mason law
13
     firm here in Minneapolis.
14
               THE COURT: Very good.
15
               MR. KLOBUCAR: Good afternoon, Judge. Jeff Klobucar
     with the Bassford Remele firm on behalf of Plaintiff.
16
17
               THE COURT: Very good.
               MR. PENNY: Good afternoon. Brian Penny from the
18
19
     law firm of Goldman Scarlato & Penny outside of Philadelphia.
20
               MS. GLUEK: Karla Gluek from the law firm Gustafson
21
     Gluek, Minneapolis.
22
               MS. GEOFFRION: Katelyn Geoffrion from Corboy &
23
     Demetrio in Chicago.
24
               MR. STUCKEY: Shawn Stuckey, Zelle Hoffman, here in
25
     Minneapolis.
```

```
1
               MR. JAMES ANDERSON: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
 2
     James Anderson from Heins Mills & Olson, also in Minneapolis.
 3
               MR. BLEICHNER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Bryan
     Bleichner from Chestnut Cambronne.
 4
               MR. BYRNE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Tom Byrne
 5
 6
     from Namanny, Byrne & Owens in California for the Plaintiffs.
 7
               MR. OWENS: Good afternoon. Mel Owens, Namanny,
 8
     Byrne & Owens in California.
 9
               MR. ROBINOVITCH: Hart Robinovitch also from
10
     Zimmerman Reed here in Minneapolis.
11
               PLAYER REED LARSON: Judge, Reed Larson, Detroit Red
12
     Wings, Boston Bruins from years 1976 to 1990.
13
               THE COURT: Welcome.
               PLAYER TOM YOUNGHANS: Hello. Tom Younghans with
14
15
     the Minnesota North Stars, New York Rangers, '76 to '82.
               PLAYER JEFF PARKER: Good afternoon. Jeff Parker,
16
17
     played in Buffalo from '86 to '90.
18
               PLAYER BRAD MAXWELL: Your Honor, Brad Maxwell, 1977
19
     to '87 with the North Stars.
20
               PLAYER BOB PARADISE: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
21
     Bob Paradise, Pittsburgh Penguins, 1969 to '79.
22
               THE COURT: Very good. We'll turn it to the defense
23
     then.
24
               MR. CONNOLLY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Dan
25
     Connolly on behalf of the National Hockey League. I'd like to
```

```
1
     introduce Bill Daly, the Deputy Commissioner, is here with us
2
     today --
3
               THE COURT: Pleasure.
4
               MR. CONNOLLY: -- and Julie Grand, the Deputy
5
     General Counsel is here today.
6
               THE COURT: Very good.
 7
               MR. CONNOLLY: In addition, Your Honor, from the
     Skadden Arps firm, Mr. John Beisner.
8
9
               MR. BEISNER: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Good afternoon.
10
11
               MR. CONNOLLY: Shepard Goldfein.
12
               MR. GOLDFEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
1.3
               THE COURT: Good afternoon.
14
               MR. CONNOLLY: And James Keyte.
15
               MR. KEYTE: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: Good afternoon.
17
               MR. CONNOLLY: In addition, Mr. Joseph Baumgarten
     from the Proskauer Rose firm.
18
19
               THE COURT: Good afternoon.
20
               MR. CONNOLLY: And finally, Your Honor, colleagues
21
     of mine from Faegre Baker Daniels, Joe Price.
22
               MR. PRICE: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Good afternoon.
23
24
               MR. CONNOLLY: Linda Svitak.
25
               MS. SVITAK: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Good afternoon.
 2
               MR. CONNOLLY: And Aaron Van Oort.
 3
               MR. VAN OORT: Good afternoon.
 4
               THE COURT: Good afternoon.
 5
               MR. CONNOLLY: Thank you, Your Honor.
 6
               THE COURT:
                           Thank you, Mr. Connolly. Very good.
 7
               Well, you know, it is really an honor for me to be
 8
     asked to preside over my first MDL and, in particular, this
 9
     MDL. The subject matter is fascinating. And perhaps most
10
     importantly, I have the privilege of working with such
11
     incredibly talented lawyers. With such a deep bench on both
12
     sides, I fully expect that the issues will be well-articulated
13
     and argued vigorously and briefed for my benefit.
14
               MDLs are designed, of course, to be an efficient and
15
     cost-effective and speedy way of completing discovery on a
16
     volume of cases. With a single master administrative
17
     Complaint in this case, I believe that we will be able to move
18
     expeditiously. But, as all of you know, over the last number
19
     of years there's been some debate about whether the MDL has
20
     served its purpose. And those who criticize it cite to
21
     statistics about bogged-down discovery and backed-up motion
22
     and years of work before these cases get returned to transfer
23
     or courts.
24
               I've been a transfer or court getting a case after
25
     six, eight years from an MDL. And one has to wonder whether
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
it's served its intended purpose. So it is my intent not to
allow that to occur. To be fair to both sides and for the
benefit of all parties to this case, it is my intent to
actively enforce deadlines, meet with Counsel every month
either in person or telephonically, and to be very diligent in
ruling on motions expeditiously in this case. So, in turn, I
expect that Counsel will exercise similar diligence and bring
your considerable talents and resources to bear on completing
tasks in a timely way.
          Now, I just wanted to mention that this morning we
received notice of a seventh case transferred to this MDL. I
presume everyone has gotten notice of that, and I'm going to
probably mispronounce it, but I'm going to say Populok matter
that was filed here in the District either last night or this
morning. And I have reviewed the Plaintiffs' motion for
approval of its proposed leadership structure, and I've
reviewed all of the exhibits in support of that motion. And I
will permit brief argument on that motion today, but I'm
prepared to rule so we can move forward with a good leadership
structure for the Plaintiffs.
          So, with those preliminary remarks, I'm going to
```

ask, it looks like perhaps Mr. Zimmerman, to make some preliminary remarks on behalf of the Plaintiffs.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: May it please the Court and Counsel. It's a privilege, as well, to be here and to carry the

enormous burdens and privilege of being counsel for retired players in this important matter. I don't take that lightly, and I think the Court knows that this is going to be important to all counsel on both sides. And we very much look forward to the wisdom of this Court and the leadership of this Court in guiding us through the many adventures we're going to be on together.

In doing that, we have tried to put together what would be a consensual and proposed structure which, in my view, is always the best way to do it. I don't know how the Court feels about it, I've not discussed the proposed structure with the Court, but we have met and conferred and worked together to come up with what we thought was the most appropriate way to handle the Plaintiffs' side of the case. We have met and conferred yesterday in my offices for approximately three hours, and we all had dinner last night.

And I'm here to say that there is no disagreement, and there's complete agreement on the proposal that is before Your Honor. If you have any questions about it, I would be happy to answer them. We are looking for three co-lead counsel; a liaison counsel, which would be the Bassford Remele firm; and an executive, a very strong Executive Committee. In speaking with John Beisner several times over the past several weeks, we've sort of tentatively agreed, subject to his consent and his partners' consent and your consent, that I

```
1
     would sort of be the point person for initial contact simply
 2
     because I'm here, I have a phone number that's easy to
 3
     remember, and (laughter) --
 4
               THE COURT: Should I ask them whether they remember
 5
     your phone number?
 6
               MR. ZIMMERMAN: It's on John's phone --
 7
               MR. BEISNER: It's on speed dial, Your Honor.
 8
               MR. ZIMMERMAN: And I answer that phone, actually,
 9
     so that's even better. But it means nothing. I'm not asking
10
     for any additional labels. It's just that John had asked me
     last night when we spoke, and -- if there was just one person
11
12
     he could start conversations with. And with the consent of
13
     the Court and with the consent of all Counsel, I guess that
14
     will be me.
15
               Other than that, Your Honor, if you have any
16
     questions about any of the curriculum vitae or the
17
     qualifications, I'm happy to answer it. Or if any of the
18
     counsel want to make any additional comment, I'm happy to let
19
                  I have complete confidence in all of the people
     them do so.
20
     we have put forward. Many of them, if not every one of them,
21
     I've worked with in the past. And I believe them to be
22
     extraordinarily qualified and extraordinarily committed and
23
     extraordinarily competent.
24
               We will talk later about timekeeping and reporting.
25
     I don't know if this is -- that's not the time to do it, but
```

```
1
     we have -- we will be very diligent about that, as well.
 2
               THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Zimmerman.
 3
               Is there anyone else from the Plaintiffs' side who
 4
     wishes to be heard, either to agree or disagree with
     Mr. Zimmerman's comments?
 5
 6
                (None indicated.)
 7
               Very good. Then we'll turn it to the defense.
 8
               Mr. Beisner.
 9
               MR. BEISNER:
                             Thank you, Your Honor. Just a very
10
     brief comment since the appointment of Plaintiffs' counsel in
11
     this case, I think, is probably the area in which Defendants
12
     most assuredly have the least standing. But I did want to
13
     echo Mr. Zimmerman's statement. It's an honor for all of us
14
     to be here before you as part of this proceeding. I would
15
     note when we talk about being on speed dial, I was not being
16
     facetious about that.
17
               Many of the counsel, we've been on opposite sides
     but have worked together with each other in other MDL
18
19
     proceedings, I think we've done so effectively. That doesn't
20
     mean we won't be presenting you with disagreements on issues
21
     from time to time, and I'm sure you will expect that to
22
     happen. But we do understand that in an MDL proceeding like
23
     this, we do have an obligation to all of our clients to keep
24
     this moving along. These proceedings, as you noted at the
25
     outset, do have some potential for lagging just because of the
```

```
1
     large number of individuals and issues that are involved. And
 2
     so the lawyers need to be at their -- at the top of their game
 3
     in order to make all of this work.
 4
               I just wanted to make one clarification.
 5
     speak with Mr. Zimmerman last night with respect to the
 6
     structure, and I think what you mentioned takes care of it.
 7
     But the Plaintiffs' proposal is, I won't say unique, but it's
 8
     a little unusual in that it designates three firms as opposed
 9
     to individual counsel with lead responsibility. I just wanted
10
     to be sure that there was an understanding among the Court and
     Plaintiffs' counsel that if we need, on the defense side, to
11
12
     call somebody, that we can get a binding response from
13
     someone. As long as we have an understanding, I don't want to
14
     get in the way of the motion in any way, but I do think
15
     there's a need to be sure that we're calling the right person
16
     who will then do whatever your management structure calls for
17
     to make sure you have a consensus. And I think we had an
     understanding, but just wanted to make sure that was on the
18
19
     record.
20
               So with that, Your Honor, that's all we have.
21
     you very much.
22
               THE COURT: Thank you. And I presume,
23
     Mr. Zimmerman, that you'll talk to Mr. Beisner about who at
24
     each of the three main firms should be the primary contact.
```

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Absolutely, Your Honor.

25

1 THE COURT: Okay. Very good. 2 All right, then, that motion will be granted, and I 3 will issue an order today. 4 Now, I tried to take the submissions and make them 5 call us with the proposed court conferences, and it wasn't 6 quite working. And so I've come up with a preliminary 7 proposed schedule. I am open to your thoughts and suggestions about any of these dates, about the order in which we conduct 8 9 business. But I wanted to put something down on paper so that 10 we could have some place to start. 11 I know that originally, I believe, that submissions 12 called for the Plaintiff to do their Master Consolidated 13 Complaint by November 3rd. If that's necessary, that's 14 necessary. It kind of throws off the dates of the holidays, 15 frankly, for me, but -- and I think it postpones things 16 unnecessarily. I'm hoping with the time that everyone's had

Mr. Zimmerman.

hear from you now.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Your Honor, on the proposed filing of the Master Consolidated Complaint, we're good with that date. We didn't look at it in terms of the holidays in the same way that the Court may have, but it's fine. We can adjust.

that these deadlines aren't too tight, but I suspect I will

But I think there is another question that we put

into our papers differently and that, I think, Counsel and I would like to discuss with the Court regarding the dates and the commencement of discovery.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: John and I -- and I hope it's okay if I call him "John," although "Bucky" is not a great name to be calling people in Federal Court, but it's what I got.

But John and I have been talking about dates and

trying to coordinate dates, and we each came up with our proposals. But the gravamen of where we were coming from, Your Honor, was we'd like to have a little bit of time, not a lot, but just a little bit, where we could actually sit down together, Defendant and Plaintiff, representatives of each, and try and hammer out dates that really are consistent with concerns that we may have that the Court may not have addressed.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: And the reason for that was nobody wants to give any ground with regard to, should there be a stay of discovery, shouldn't there be a stay of discovery, when does discovery start? But John and I said, let's try and see what we can work out. And if we have a disagreement about it, we can bring it to Your Honor promptly. But could we have the opportunity to talk about it amongst ourselves?

And if I put this in the wrong context, you'll

Heather A. Schuetz, RMR, CRR, CCP (651) 848-1223 Heather_Schuetz@mnd.uscourts.gov

1 correct me --2 THE COURT: And if I could interrupt one second, 3 what period of time are you looking at to have that 4 discussion? 5 MR. ZIMMERMAN: Within ten days or two weeks? 6 MR. BEISNER: Yeah, Your Honor, I really think, if I 7 may, I think the schedule that you have proposed here allows 8 us to do that because we're going to be getting, under this 9 schedule -- which I understand Mr. Zimmerman says is okay --10 the Master Consolidated Complaint on October 18th, and then there will be a status conference with the Court. We may have 11 12 some issues to raise at that point, after we've seen the 13 Complaint. But then we go into the negotiation of a proposed 14 case management order, which I assume Bucky will be dealing 15 with discovery and other issues. And this is really, I think, 16 Your Honor, what this sets out is the sort of time period that 17 we had in mind for doing that. So, I think from Defendant's perspective, this is 18 19 consistent with what we had in mind. It moves it along a 20 little more quickly. We're happy to do that. But I think 21 this would give us the opportunity to have the conversations 22 about that. 23 MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yeah, and I kind of missed the word 24 here, "negotiated" proposed order, and now I see it and John 25 has pointed that out to me. We just wanted that opportunity

```
to kind of --
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Of course, yes.
 3
               MR. ZIMMERMAN: -- put our heads together because I
 4
     think it's best when we have that opportunity because we're
 5
     coming from places that we have constituent groups, too, to
 6
     deal with.
 7
               THE COURT: Sure.
                                  Sure. So, am I hearing both
     sides are comfortable with the filing of the Master
 8
 9
     Consolidated Complaint on or before October 18th; a status
10
     conference on November 6th at 2:00 to deal with any issues at
11
     that time; but that you will have at this 26(f) conference on
12
     or before November 18th. That's a big ticket item. There's a
13
     lot to discuss, so you'll need to decide how you're going to
14
     approach that. Perhaps submit your views in advance of it,
15
     perhaps even have a pre-status conference meeting between the
16
     two of you. However you want to approach it. But my hope is
17
     that early on we pay detailed attention to protocols around
18
     electronic discovery, that we have a good protective order in
19
     place, that we have in mind the timing on class certification,
20
     and that we have a good discussion about what role mediation
21
     plays.
22
               MR. BEISNER: Your Honor, I think that this approach
23
     will work fine. I think it may mean that we'll need to start
24
     having some discussions even before the status conference on
25
     November 6th.
```

THE COURT: I would think so, yes.

MR. BEISNER: And I think what's worked well and what we talked about briefly at some point is on most of those, these issues, assuming they're timely, I think we can present proposed orders to the Court, case management order and so on.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. BEISNER: What we've done in other cases is lay out the agreement, and then there may be a little discussion on the side saying, Plaintiffs want this date, Defendants want that date, or whatever. And then when we convene to discuss that — but so that there's a master document we're working with on each of these and the Court can find the right ground with respect to that. But I think — it's a lot to do, but I think we're up to the task.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yes. And I think we can, and I was even being more ambitious, but I think the November dates are fine. The Court has scheduled a time for the November 6th status, and then the November 8th final deadline for the proposals. That's fine.

The only thing I might add, Your Honor -- and we don't need to decide it now -- but one of the things that's very important to the Plaintiffs' side on these is once we get a Master Consolidated Complaint out there that we have a short form, sort of check-off Complaint so that people who want to

```
1
     join the lawsuit don't have to start drafting large documents
 2
     and filing large documents; they just -- they want to have a
 3
     short form Complaint. So, we can either put that on our
 4
     agenda for November, or we can just plant that seed with Your
 5
     Honor that a short form proposed Complaint, maybe we'll file
 6
     it with the Amended Consolidated Complaint as pro forma --
 7
               THE COURT: I would think that would be appropriate,
     and then if the defense has a response, they'll have an
 8
 9
     opportunity to make that response.
10
               MR. ZIMMERMAN: Good. With that said, Your Honor, I
11
     think we're copasetic on the dates and the process for the
12
     Rule 26 dates.
13
               THE COURT: And, you know, really all the dates
     after November 18th are dependent on your meeting. Those are
14
15
     just some proposals.
16
               MR. ZIMMERMAN: Right. They're not cast in --
17
                           They're not etched in any stone, yes.
               THE COURT:
18
               MR. ZIMMERMAN: -- stone or concrete. I never know
19
     which is which.
20
               THE COURT: Except that what I'm hoping we -- is
21
     sort of etched in stone, and I should ask Mr. Beisner now,
     whether the November 18th date makes sense for Rule 12
22
     motions.
23
24
               MR. BEISNER: I think, Your Honor, there may be need
25
     for a little bit more time, but I think that time range is
```

```
probably fine. And perhaps it would be best for us to see the Master Consolidated Complaint and then at the November 6 status conference if we want to propose something a little different on that, we can do so at that time.
```

THE COURT: Okay. And the other point, I think, is I have in mind that some discovery will proceed. And you can see from the schedule, shortly before discovery is -- some discovery will proceed shortly before I rule on the Rule 12 motions. I think that's pretty standard. So, that's the Court's wishes on that. So when you have those discussions, keep that in mind.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: I don't want to interrupt, but one of the things that we -- we sort of have a little bit of a roadmap from another case involving football. And we know that preemption is going to be a very big issue, and we also know that there are some specific fact inquiries that have to be made in order to properly face that. And so we don't -- we're not asking the Court to decide it now, you've given your introductory remarks. And I know John and I have talked about this offline, and he knows where I'm coming from on this. I know where he's coming from on this.

Hopefully we can work it out. But we may have to come before Your Honor and say, listen, we need some limited factual inquiries now before we have to face the 12 motion.

And I just want the Court to know that I'm not walking away

```
1
     from that potential necessity.
2
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. And in terms of
3
     briefing for motions, I think the default position is going to
4
     be the Federal Rules, so I'm going to need a reason why we
     need extra time. Okay? So -- or take --
5
6
               MR. ZIMMERMAN: For the responses and the briefing?
               THE COURT: For the -- yeah, briefing, for any
7
8
     dispositive or non-dispositive motion.
9
               MR. BEISNER: Okay.
10
               THE COURT: All right. Very good.
11
               Anything else about the preliminary scheduling
12
     issues that you want to address at this point before our
13
     November 6th conference?
14
               MR. BEISNER: Not for the Defendants, Your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. Very good.
               Let's talk about the rules for status conferences.
16
17
     It would be my preference, but I'd be interested in your
18
     practice or your thoughts, that the parties meet and confer at
19
     least two weeks before a status conference and create a
20
     proposed agenda for that status conference and then share it
21
     with the Court at least ten days before the status conference.
22
     And decide when you meet and confer -- sometimes there are
23
     going to be issues that you can prepare simultaneous
24
     submissions to the Court with the agenda; sometimes there are
25
     going to be issues where it makes more sense for somebody
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
who's making the request to go first with the submission and
for there to be a reply. I'll let you discuss how that should
be provided to the Court, but give me a week at least before
the status conference so I am really up to speed on what the
issues are.
          So, again, two weeks for the meet and confer, ten
days for the agenda, and submissions unless you agree that
there should be an initial submission and a reply. And you'll
tell me then you've agreed on that and what the schedule is
for that. If the parties agree that the conference should be
held telephonically -- and I appreciate certainly the
cost-effectiveness of doing that -- you can submit a joint
agreement, stipulation that that will work.
          I think there are conferences that we can probably
hold telephonically and conferences we can't. When we hold a
conference telephonically with a group like this, for the sake
of the court reporter -- remember, she can't see you, so
please always state your name before you say anything at all
on the telephone. Okay? That's my biggest problem. People
get into heated battles and she has no idea who's talking. So
make sure you do that.
          Anything else about rules for status conferences?
          MR. ZIMMERMAN: I just have -- Charles Zimmerman.
          In these kinds of cases, where they have major
impact on lots of people, I have a strong preference
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
personally for in-person meetings of counsel. I know the
Court indicated that at times in person and at times
telephonic. And perhaps once the Court sees the agenda, you
can advise -- you can advise us. But is it safe to assume
presumptively we will be in person and unless the Court tells
us other, or directs us otherwise?
          THE COURT: I think that's fair, but you might
consult with your Florida counsel about their view on that
subject.
          MR. ZIMMERMAN: They said February, March are their
favorite times (laughter).
          THE COURT: They might disagree. They might think
the telephone is just fine.
         MR. ZIMMERMAN: Delta Airlines wants us in person.
          THE COURT: Okay. Yep.
          I've listed, I think the defense had suggested
Thursdays and the Plaintiffs, Wednesdays. Thursdays works
better for me, so I have included Thursdays. Not every
Thursday that you proposed worked, and a majority of the
Thursdays I'm already set for trial. That's why we have 2:00
hearings sometime because I will have the jury stay and then
take a late lunch and they'll go for the day and then we'll
have a conference. So, I'd like to hear well in advance if
one of these dates doesn't work for the sake of everybody's
calendar. Please don't tell me shortly in advance, if you can
```

```
1
     avoid it.
 2
               Anything about the timing of the settlement -- of
 3
     the status conferences?
 4
               MR. ZIMMERMAN: I don't know what September 3rd is,
 5
     how close that is to Labor Day. Um --
 6
               THE COURT: It's the Thursday before, I believe.
               MR. ZIMMERMAN: Okay. I just didn't -- if it's
 7
 8
     close in time, travel might be tough. But I don't see any
 9
     other things that conflict with known holidays. I may have to
10
     check the Jewish calendar again. I don't know.
11
               MR. BEISNER: Let me make sure, April 9th is
12
     Passover, so --
13
               MR. ZIMMERMAN:
                               There you go.
14
               THE COURT:
                           So April 9th we'll have to re --
15
               MR. ZIMMERMAN: It takes the non-Jewish person to
16
     tell the Jewish person. It's my wife's job.
17
               MR. BEISNER: For the record, somebody gave me a
     hint. Okay.
18
               MR. ZIMMERMAN: Is that Joe? (Laughter.)
19
20
               THE COURT: Okay. Let's look at the month of April
21
     here. I don't have it here. Perhaps in a minute my calendar
22
     clerk will give us a different date, perhaps the next
23
     Thursday.
24
               COURTROOM DEPUTY: The next Thursday would be the
25
     16th.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Does the 16th work for everybody, 2:00
 2
     because I'm in trial. So, 2:00, April 16th at 2:00 p.m. All
 3
     right.
 4
               Now, there may come a time when in between status
 5
     conferences it would be really helpful if the Court gave you
 6
     some quidance on a particular deposition or particular expert
 7
     or something. I want you to feel free to make a request for
 8
     that kind of telephone conference call in between status
 9
     conferences when it would really make a difference to have
10
     that issue decided before the next status conference.
11
     feel free to do that. Again, though, you need to give me a
12
     little warning, so try to schedule that in advance.
13
               MR. ZIMMERMAN: May I inquire on that, Your Honor?
               THE COURT: Yes.
14
15
               MR. ZIMMERMAN: What is the process for that? Do we
16
     call your chambers? Is there a particular clerk or calendar
17
               THE COURT: Susan Del Monte is my scheduling clerk,
18
19
     and she would be handling that for you.
20
               MR. ZIMMERMAN: Okay. Thank you.
21
               THE COURT: And she's at the main chambers number.
22
               MR. ZIMMERMAN:
                               Thank you.
23
               THE COURT: Okay. Okay.
24
               Let's talk about mediation for a moment. Has there
25
     been any discussion about whether the parties want to consider
```

```
1
     proposing mediators or -- I'm sure at your meet and confer,
 2
     you'll talk at length about that, at the 26(f) conference.
 3
     But I wondered if even now there's been any discussion on that
 4
     subject.
               MR. ZIMMERMAN: Your Honor, from the
 5
 6
     Plaintiffs' side, there has been no discussion of any kind
 7
     with regard to that. And perhaps it is something we can take
     up at the 26 conference, but there have been no overtures
 8
 9
     either way, and we have not had any discussions about that.
10
               MR. BEISNER: Agreed, Your Honor. We've had no
11
     conversations on that subject.
12
               THE COURT: Okay. My experience is that mediations
13
     are most successful when you find the sweet spot for them.
14
     So, I'm hoping that you'll all be cognizant of when that might
15
     be. And oftentimes that's after there's been some discovery
     but before there's been too much discovery. I don't need to
16
17
     tell you that what costs money in these cases is electronic
18
     discovery and experts. And so if there's a way to address
19
     seriously the possibility of the resolution of the case before
20
     that time, I encourage you to do that and to propose a
21
     mediator or mediators or method of selecting a mediator that
     works for both sides.
22
23
               Mr. Zimmerman, do you wish to be heard on time and
24
     expense reporting?
               MR. ZIMMERMAN: I think if I might, Your Honor.
25
```

THE COURT: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ZIMMERMAN: It's clear to me that the trends in MDLs and in Federal Courts is to have ongoing and regular management of time and expense reporting, especially on the Plaintiffs' side, and we think it should be on the defense side, too. I know that Judge Magnuson in the recent Target MDL has required both sides to do in camera reporting on a monthly basis of time and expenditures.

It's not fun. I'm sure it's not great reading for the Court. But it is the best way that we can keep a handle on how fast and furious the time is being expended, how the Court can see if anything is perhaps not working according to the way it should work, and for leadership to have a handle on the work that's being done by others that is either authorized or not authorized so we can control it, because the last thing we want to do is three years from now, perhaps we have an end result that everyone's happy with and we have some kind of a dispute over what time was authorized and what time should have not been spent and read-and-review time is not agreeable or not -- or is agreeable. You know the issues. And I want to take control, if I could, of the -- of that requirement and that management at the front end. And with the help of the Court, look at it in a realistic way so it doesn't ever become a problem for anybody.

With regard to Defendants, the Court will have to

```
1
     decide how and when you want to manage that. It's not for me
 2
     to decide. But I can refer you only to what Senior Judge
 3
     Magnuson did in the Target case and sort of leave it at that.
 4
     But --
 5
               THE COURT: So your proposal is for, at least on the
 6
     Plaintiffs' side, monthly in camera reporting?
 7
               MR. ZIMMERMAN: Correct. And sort of on a
 8
     summarized basis, not every work in process but detailed
 9
     enough that you're comfortable with and detailed enough that
10
     you can get a good handle on things.
11
               Let me just say one more thing. We would propose to
12
     you that we provide you what we would like to provide to you,
13
     and then you can say, "No, I want more and more;" or maybe, "I
14
     want less."
15
               MR. DAVIDSON: Stuart Davidson, Your Honor.
16
     what I was going to say is, if Your Honor will allow us, we
17
     will submit a proposed order to the Court that lays out, you
18
     know, following the guidance from Judge Magnuson in the Target
19
     case and other courts that have done so recently. We'll
20
     submit a proposed order to Your Honor that has form -- or
21
     templates for time and expense recording. And if it meets
22
     with Your Honor's approval, then we'll have it done in stone.
23
               THE COURT: The Court will allow you to do that.
24
     Why don't you do that. Is 10 days a reasonable time, or two
25
     weeks?
```

```
1
               MR. DAVIDSON: Ten days is fine.
 2
               THE COURT: Ten days. Okay. Very good.
 3
               Mr. Beisner, do you wish to be heard on that?
 4
               MR. BEISNER: Your Honor, I know it's not always
     appropriate for an attorney to say, "I've not heard of that
 5
 6
     before." But I need to confer with Mr. Zimmerman on the
 7
     subject of the defense reporting. That's not a concept, I
     have to say, I've run into before. And I'm not entirely sure
 8
 9
     of the purpose, but we will certainly give that some thought
10
     and report back to the Court on that issue.
11
               THE COURT: Good. And if you could make that report
12
     within ten days, will that work for you?
13
               MR. BEISNER: We'll do that, Your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: Okay. Very good.
15
               Okay. Now, it's anticipated that there will be an
16
     official court website that the parties may access which will
17
     have court orders, court minutes, court calendar, master
18
     service list, and the like. I think we've done that with
19
     other MDLs, at least in this District. So I am going to ask
20
     as part of your meet and confer that interim co-lead counsel
21
     meet with defense counsel and prepare a brief written summary,
22
     if you can, describing as if you were doing it for voir dire,
23
     okay, your joint understanding of the facts involved in the
24
     litigation and what the important factual and legal issues
25
     will be. And that will be called the "Introduction" on this
```

```
1
     website, this joint submission from the parties.
 2
               Should you not be able to agree on that
 3
     introduction, I'm sure you'll submit to me something with your
 4
     various proposals, and I will try to come up with a nice,
     neutral introduction to put on the website. And I would ask
 5
 6
     that we finalize that by the next status conference, so if you
 7
     would include that with your submissions, which would be ten
     days before that status conference. Okay.
 8
 9
               Yes, Mr. Zimmerman.
10
               MR. ZIMMERMAN: On that topic, it is also common --
     and unless the Court has an objection or even counsel has an
11
12
     objection -- the Plaintiffs also host a website for Plaintiffs
13
     to log into, albeit they're a current Plaintiff or prospective
14
     Plaintiff, to get information about the Plaintiffs' side of
15
     the case. It doesn't interfere at all with the official site
     of the Court, the MDL website, but I just want to know that
16
17
     there will also be, concurrently, a Plaintiff attorney, or
18
     Plaintiff counsel, or Plaintiffs' website.
19
               THE COURT: Well, I will take that as a note to the
20
     Court. And if the defense has any concern about that, I'm
21
     sure they'll express it. Very good.
               MR. ZIMMERMAN: I just didn't want there to be any
22
     confusion on that.
23
24
               THE COURT: All right. Very good. Any other
25
     business before the Court today?
```

```
1
                (None indicated.)
                THE COURT: Well, I want to welcome everybody again.
 2
 3
     I welcome all lawyers, and I welcome the retired hockey
 4
     players.
                It's a pleasure to make your acquaintance.
 5
                Court is adjourned.
                (WHEREUPON, the matter was adjourned.)
 6
 7
                         (Concluding at 1:40 p.m.)
 8
 9
10
11
                                CERTIFICATE
12
                I, Heather A. Schuetz, certify that the foregoing is
13
14
     a correct transcript from the record of the proceedings in the
15
     above-entitled matter.
16
17
                     Certified by: s/ Heather A. Schuetz
18
                                    Heather A. Schuetz, RMR, CRR, CCP
                                    Official Court Reporter
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```